

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/7901,713	07/28/97	BELL	A 400-009

QMI 11/0406

STEPHEN T SULLIVAN
5060 NORTH 40TH STREET
SUITE 120
PHOENIX AZ 85018-2140

EXAMINER

FOSTER, J

ART UNIT

3728

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 04/06/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. 08/901,713	Applicant(s) Bell
	Examiner J. Foster	Group Art Unit 3728

Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 3728

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindsay (4,993,551) in view of Fleming (4,993,551) in view of Berry et al (4,880,315). In the reference of Lindsay, the tool holder 10 may be considered to define a utility apron. The apron/holder includes a shell 20,22,24 with a lip portion 20 for contacting the lip of a bucket 12 to support the shell on the bucket. The exterior surface of the apron is at 24 and includes a plurality of pockets 28 disposed thereon. In addition, the interior surface of the apron is at 22 and includes a plurality of pockets 26 disposed thereon.

Although the reference of Lindsay does not disclose specific sizes for the pockets 26 and 28 of the holder 10, it would have been obvious to have made the pockets with any sizes desired, including the sizes claimed by Applicant, since it has been held that the particular size of an article generally will not support patentability. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237, 240 (CCPA 1955); In re Yount, 80 USPQ 141.

The reference of Berry et al suggests at 28,30 and 36,38 using elastic bands at the opening of pockets for tools or implements, such as scissors and nail clippers. It is apparent

Art Unit: 3728

that the elastic bands would provide a function of resiliently restricting the pocket openings for providing retention of contents in the pockets but permitting enlargement of the openings under an opening force which pulls the pocket material from the backing for allowing contents in the pockets to be removed. Accordingly, it would have been obvious in view of Berry et al to have provided elastic bands at the openings of the pockets 26,28 of Lindsay for the purpose of resiliently retaining the tools in the pockets.

3. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1-26 above, and further in view of Kikas (3,116,773). The reference of Kikas discloses that elastic pocket openings permit expansion thereof for easy access for removing and replacing articles in the pockets (col 1, line 70 - col. 2, line 2). Accordingly, for this same function it further would have been obvious in view of Kikas to have provided the elastic band at the opening of each of the pockets 26,28 of Lindsay.

4. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 3728.

Jimmy G. Foster
JIMMY G. FOSTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3728 *3/26/98*